Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 2017. Vol. 3. Iss. 2, pp. 20–24, DOI: 10.12737/stp-3220174. © INFRA-M Academic Publishing House Original Russian version P.Yu. Gololobov, P.A. Krivoshapkin, G.F. Krymsky, V.G. Grigoryev, S.K. Gerasimova, published in Solnechno-Zemnaya Fizika, 2017, vol. 3, iss. 2, pp. 22–26, DOI: 10.12737/22604

INVESTIGATING TENSOR ANISOTROPY OF COSMIC RAYS DURING LARGE-SCALE SOLAR WIND DISTURBANCES

P.Yu. Gololobov

Yu.G. Shafer Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy of SB RAS, Yakutsk, Russia, gpeter@ikfia.sbras.ru

P.A. Krivoshapkin

Yu.G. Shafer Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy of SB RAS, Yakutsk, Russia

G.F. Krymsky

Yu.G. Shafer Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy of SB RAS, Yakutsk, Russia

V.G. Grigoryev

Yu.G. Shafer Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy of SB RAS, Yakutsk, Russia

S.K. Gerasimova

Yu.G. Shafer Institute of Cosmophysical Research and Aeronomy of SB RAS, Yakutsk, Russia

Abstract. The observable anisotropy of cosmic rays has first been decomposed into zonal harmonics and components of vector and tensor anisotropy. We examine Forbush decreases in cosmic rays that occurred in November 2001 and November 2004. It is shown that at the beginning of a Forbush decrease an antisunward convective current of cosmic rays predominates; and during the recovery phase, a sunward diffusive current of particles along the interplanetary magnetic field dominates. During the phase of intensity drop, short-time

NATURE OF ANISOTROPY

An anisotropic flow of cosmic rays (CR), which is registered by ground-based detectors, is originated first of all from dynamic processes in the solar wind, which affect CR through the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF). Notice that CR anisotropy also occurs outside the heliosphere, but for the particles registered by ground-based CR detectors, the solar wind is the main modulation factor. The observed anisotropy can be presented by the first two spherical harmonics expressing themselves as diurnal variations due to the Earth rotation. In the angular coordinate system, with the polar axis parallel to the axis of the Earth rotation, the first spherical harmonic is presented as a component R_1^1 . This component can be represented as a vector and is called vector anisotropy. R_1^1 reflects a direct motion or current of CR. The current has a convective or diffusive origin. The convective current appears when CR move together with the solar wind magnetic field; and the diffusive current, due to CR gradients and their scattering by magnetic irregularities. In the steady state of the interplanetary medium, the convective current is directed away from the Sun; and the diffusive current, toward the Sun along IMF lines. In general, the diurnal anisotropy shows the moment of maximum intensity about 18 LT.

The tensor anisotropy components R_2^2 and R_2^1 in the above angular coordinate system appear as semidiurnal and antisymmetric diurnal variations. The reason for the observed anisotropy R_2^2 is a deficit of particles decreases in the second zonal harmonic take place. These decreases occur with abrupt changes of the interplanetary magnetic field intensity and solar wind speed. During the passage of large-scale solar wind disturbances, the tensor anisotropy behaves in a complicated way. To explain its behavior, a further detailed investigation is required.

Keywords: cosmic rays, tensor anisotropy, Forbush decrease, coronal mass ejection.

moving along field lines in loop structures of IMF. In average over the course of a long period, this component is presented, on the 12-hour dial, as a vector directed to 3 LT. The same anisotropy should appear in the presence of the heliolatitudinal CR gradient with minimum intensity near Earth's heliolatitude. A deviation of magnetic tubes from the Archimedian spiral leads to a change of the R_2^2 direction. The component R_2^1 usually appears in the region of heliolatitudinal shift of the CR current. If the convective current shifts, then R_2^1 is maximum at 12 or 0 LT. If there is a heliolatitudinal shift of the diffusive current along the field lines, the variation is maximum at 9 or 21 LT. In more complicated cases, when the convective and diffusive currents shift simultaneously and when field tubes are deviated, the tensor anisotropy behaves in a complicated way.

THE METHOD OF STUDYING ANISOTROPY

Variations in CR density and anisotropy observed during the passage of large-scale SW disturbances through Earth have been extensively studied, for example, by the following groups of researchers: the IZMI-RAN group using their version of the global survey method (see, e.g., [Belov et al., 2009; Abunina et al., 2015; Abunina et al., 2013]), the ISTP group using the original method of global spectrographic survey [Kravtsova, Sdobnov. 2016] and the group of Japanese researchers [Munakata et al., 2005]. The version of the global survey method employed by the IZMIRAN and Japanese groups is largely similar to the method developed in ShICRA and used in this work. However, the above works ignore the second spherical harmonic. The method of global spectrographic survey employed by the ISTP group has a slightly different way of presenting CR angular distribution. Thus, at present, ShICRA's method of global survey is one of the most effective methods for studying short-term CR variation.

Information about CR angular distribution has been obtained using data from the worldwide network of neutron monitors with the global survey method [Altukhov et al., 1970]. Below is a brief description of the method.

The observed CR intensity $J(\theta, \phi)$ can be represented as a series of spherical harmonics by the equation:

$$J(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (a_n^m \cos m\varphi + b_n^m \sin m\varphi) P_n^m (\sin \theta) ,$$

where θ , φ are latitudinal and longitudinal angles respectively, P_n^m is the associated Legendre polynomial. So, the angular distribution has the form of the multidimensional vector $\vec{A} = (a_n^m, b_n^m)$, where $0 \le m \le n < \infty$.

Since the registered particles are affected by the geomagnetic field, the intensity registered by a CR detector $J = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{Z}$, where $\vec{Z} = (x_n^m, y_n^m)$ is the multidimensional acceptance vector, which accounts for the directional diagram of a device, coupling coefficients, particle trajectories in the geomagnetic field, etc. [Grigoryev et al., 2011].

Given that each neutron-monitor detector has different energetic sensitivity, it is necessary to enter an additional spectral multiplier K_n^j , which normalizes *j*-th device readings to a device with the coupling coefficient $W^0(\varepsilon)$:

$$K_n^j = \frac{\int\limits_{e_{\min}}^{\infty} W^j(\varepsilon) f_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon}{\int\limits_{e_{\min}}^{\infty} W^0(\varepsilon) f_n(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon},$$

where $f_n(\varepsilon)$ is the energy spectrum of n-th spherical harmonic (energy spectra of the first two spherical harmonics are presented in [Grigoryev et al., 2011]). Using data from all the *j* devices, we solve the system of equations:

$$J^{j} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (a_{n}^{m,0} x_{n}^{m,j} + b_{n}^{m,0} y_{n}^{m,j}) K_{n}^{j},$$

where $a_n^{m,0}$, $b_n^{m,0}$ are unknown components. Thus, with a sufficient number of neutron monitors it is possible to obtain hour-to-hour changes of CR angular distribution. Notice that with the available number of active neutron monitors we can consider only the first two spherical harmonics as higher harmonics have insufficient accuracy.

The component a_0^0 represents an isotropic intensity. The first spherical harmonic consists of the first zonal a_1^0 and azimuthal a_1^1, b_1^1 components; the last two components describe a symmetrical diurnal variation of CR. The second spherical harmonic comprises the following five components: second zonal a_2^0 , antisymmetric diurnal a_2^1, b_2^1 and semidiurnal a_2^2, b_2^2 variations. The first and second spherical harmonics can be represented in space as a vector and tensor and are called CR vector and tensor anisotropy respectively. For convenience, we designate each pair of components a_n^m, b_n^m as R_n^m .

It is well known that during periods of passage of largescale SW disturbances through Earth strong geomagnetic storms occur which can change geomagnetic cutoff rigidities of CR; hence calculated receiving vectors differ from real ones. However, a large number of selected neutron monitor stations, which are uniformly distributed over the Earth surface, can neutralize this effect.

EXAMPLES OF FORBUSH DECREASE EVENTS

To analyze the behavior of the above components during a passage of large-scale SW disturbances, we have chosen two time periods with large Forbush decreases (FD): November 2001 and November 2004. The total number of neutron monitor stations used for the events of interest is equal to 27, which is quite enough to obtain the first two harmonics of CR angular distribution with a high level of accuracy.

Information about FD and their sources are obtained from the catalogue created by Zhang et al. [Zhang et al., 2007]. This catalogue contains data on probable sources of 88 major geomagnetic storms that occurred in 1996–2005. In particular, it identifies times of arrival of the disturbances in Earth, coordinates of the respective solar flare, and the type of the interplanetary solar wind structure.

Two large FD with amplitudes of ~10 % and ~7 % respectively were registered by high-latitude neutron monitor stations on November 6 and 24, 2001. The source of the November 6 FD was a solar flare in the active region AR9684 with coordinates (06° N, 18° W) and the source of the November 24 FD was a flare in AR9704 with coordinates (14° S, 36° W). On November 7 and 9, 2004, two FD with amplitudes of ~5 % occurred. The probable source of these FD is the active region 10696 with coordinates (09° N, 17° W), which was accompanied by multiple solar flares of class up to X2.5.

To get a complete picture of the relationship between CR anisotropy and specific physical processes, Figure 1 presents SW parameters (solar wind speed V_{sw} , IMF B_x , B_y , B_z components, SW flow pressure, and SW proton density), obtained from the OmniWeb database (http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/form/dx1.html) [King, Papitashvili, 2005]. Moreover, this Figure shows values of the first R_1^0 and second R_2^0 zonal harmonics and isotropic intensity *dI*, which were obtained with the global survey method. Red vertical lines indicate the moments of arrival of the disturbed solar wind in Earth. These moments were determined from catalogues presented in



Figure 1. Parameters of IMF B_x , B_y , B_z , solar wind speed V_{sw} , flow pressure and proton density, first R_1^0 and second R_2^0 spherical harmonics and isotropic intensity *dI* in November 2001 and November 2004

[Jian et al., 2006] and [Zhang et al., 2007]. The beginning of the isotropic intensity decreases on November 5 and 24, 2001 was followed by abrupt increases in the solar wind speed, flow pressure, proton density, and IMF intensity. At the same moments, there were R_2^0 decreases with a value of ~2.5 %. Note that maximum R_2^0 decreases have always occurred at maximum solar wind speed and short-term increases in the IMF B_z component. The above mentioned relationship of R_2^0 with the solar wind parameters was also observed during FD in November 2004. As for R_1^0 , it has not a sufficiently reliable response.

The R_1^1 , R_2^1 , and R_2^2 components are presented on a vector diagram (Figures 2–4) as linked vectors which form a continuous curve. The numbers near the curves indicate days of the month; red triangles mark the time moments of ICME arrival in Earth.

The behavior of the vector anisotropy component R_1^1 during FD in November 2001 and November 2004 is illustrated in Figure 2. Notice that at the end of the previous month, October 28, according to [Zhang et al., 2007] ICME arrived in Earth. It is clearly seen that during the phase of CR intensity decrease, the arrival of the disturbed solar wind, the convective current of CR prevails; and during the recovery phase, the sunward diffusive current of CR along IMF predominates. It is necessary to note here that if a solar wind disturbance passed



Figure 2. Behavior of R_1^1 in November 2001 and November 2004



Figure 3. Behavior of R_2^1 in November 2001 and November 2004



Figure 4. Behavior of R_2^2 in November 2001 and November 2004

close to but away from Earth, we would expect the appearance of an antisunward diffusive current of CR in Earth against a quiet solar wind. But in this work, given that large-scale FD occur with abrupt SW increases, it is fair to assume that Earth is in the central disturbed region and the antisunward CR current should be convective.

Figures 3 and 4 present the tensor anisotropy components R_2^1 and R_2^2 . It is obvious that these components experience much more complicated variations as compared to R_1^1 . The leading front of the disturbance was observed on November 5 and 6, 2001. It was accompanied by disappearance of R_2^2 that was earlier directed to 3 LT. In the recovery phase of the disturbance, from November 4 to November 14, the component R_2^2 shifted toward 5 LT. This suggests some straightening of the Archimedian spiral during this period. The component R_2^1 on November 5 and 6 almost disappeared and then recovered with a direction at 22 LT. This may mean that the diffusive current increased in southern heliolatitudes. The solar wind disturbance on November 23 and 24, 2001 occurred with a significant decrease in R_2^2 and its subsequent recovery with a direction at 3 LT. The component R_2^1 behaves itself in a complicated way, revealing a large CR current shift in the main phase of the disturbance.

The event in November 2004 is an interference of multiple high-speed flows with a maximum on November 8. From November 8 to November 11, the component R_2^1 revealed a convective current increase in northern heliolatitudes. As for R_2^2 , this component does not show significant changes caused by the disturbance.

As inferred from [King, Papitashvili, 2005], FD on November 5, 2001 occurred due to the arrival of multiple solar wind structures (magnetic cloud, ICME, and shock wave) in Earth. The November 24, 2001 FD was caused by multiple shock waves and ICME. On November 7, 2004, multiple shock waves and a magnetic cloud entered the interplanetary space; and on November 9, a magnetic cloud and ICME. Thus, in our selected FD events there were always different solar wind structures. This fact explains the observed ambiguity of the tensor anisotropy behavior during these FD events. The behavior of tensor anisotropy strongly depends on the solar wind structure. To clearly determine the CR anisotropy behavior during different solar wind disturbances, it is necessary to conduct a more detailed investigation with a great number of data.

CONCLUSION

The analysis of the behavior of CR angular distribution during FD events in November 2001 and November 2004 allows the following preliminary conclusions:

• arrival of solar wind disturbed structures in Earth is accompanied by abrupt decreases in the second zonal harmonic R_2^0 . The component R_1^0 does not reveal such big changes;

• during the phase of CR intensity decrease, the convective current of CR predominates; during the recovery phase, the sunward CR diffusive current along IMF dominates;

• the components of tensor anisotropy R_2^1 and R_2^2 during passage of large-scale disturbances of solar wind experience complicated amplitude-phase variations. To clearly determine the observed variations of the tensor anisotropy, it is necessary to conduct further more detailed investigations.

The work was supported by RFBR grants Nos. 15-42-05085-r_vostok_a, 15-42-05083-r_vostok_a and by RAS Presidium Fundamental Research Program No. 23 "High-energy physics and neutrino astrophysics".

REFERENCES

Abunina M., Abunin A., Belov A. Phase distribution of the first harmonic of the cosmic ray anisotropy during the initial phase of Forbush effects. *J. Physics: Conference Ser.* 2015, vol. 632, no. 012044.

Abunina M.A., Abunin A.A., Belov A.V. et al. Relationship between Forbush effect parameters and the heliolongitude of solar sources. *Geomagnetism and Aeronomy*. 2013, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 10–18.

Altukhov A.M., Krymsky G.F., Kuzmin A.I. The method of "Global survey" for investigating cosmic ray modulation. *Proc. 11th Int. Conf. on Cosmic Rays.* 1970, vol. 4, pp. 457–460.

Belov A.V., Asipenka A., Dryn E.A., Eroshenko E.A. Kryakunova O.N., Oleneva V.A., Yanke V. Behavior of the cosmic-ray vector anisotropy before interplanetary shocks. *Bull. RAS: Physics.* 2009, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 331–333.

Grigoryev V.G., Starodubtsev S.A., Krymsky G.F. Krivoshapkin P.A., Timofeev V.E., Prikhodko A.N., Karmodonov A.Ya. Modern Yakutsk spectrograph after A.I. Kuzmin. *Proc. 32th Int. Conf. on Cosmic Rays.* 2011, vol. 11, pp. 252–255. DOI: 10.7529/ICRC2011/V11/360.

Jian L., Russell C.T., Luhmann J.G., Skoug R.M. Properties of interplanetary coronal mass ejections at one AU during 1995–2004. *Solar Phys.* 2006, vol. 239, pp. 393–436. DOI: 10.1007/s11207-006-0133-2.

King J.H., Papitashvili N.E. Solar wind spatial scales in and comparisons of hourly Wind and ACE plasma and magnetic field data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2005, vol. 110, A02104. DOI: 10.1029/2004JA010649.

Kravtsova M.V., Sdobnov V.E. Cosmic rays during great geomagnetic storms in cycle 23 of solar activity. *Geomagnetism and Aeronomy*. 2016, vol. 56, no 2, pp. 143–150.

Munakata K., Kuwabara T., Bieber J.W. et al. CME-geometry and cosmic-ray anisotropy observed by a prototype muon detector network. *Adv. Space Res.* 2006, vol. 36, pp. 2357–2362.

Zhang J., Richardson I.G., Webb D.F., Gopalswamy N., Huttunen E., Kasper J.C., Nitta N.V., Poomvises W., Thompson B.J., Wu C.-C., Yashiro S., Zhukov A.N. Solar and interplanetary sources of major geomagnetic storms (Dst≤–100 nT) during 1996–2005. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2007, vol. 112, A10102. DOI: 10.1029/2007JA012321.

How to cite this article

Gololobov P.Yu., Krivoshapkin P.A., Krymsky G.F., Grigoryev V.G., Gerasimova S.K. Investigating tensor anisotropy of cosmic rays during large-scale solar wind disturbances. Solar-Terrestrial Physics. 2017. Vol. 3. Iss. 2. P. 20–24.